Sugar Meet
Sets 1969
Demands

HONOLULU—A 50 cents-per-hour minimum wage increase, $150 pensions, and a move toward industry seniority head the list of major demands formulated by the ILWU Local 142 Sugar Caucus here October 17-18. The sugar contract expires early in 1969.

"The industry is fat — we helped make it fat — and we are going to take our share," was an idea expressed by many delegates.

The caucus saw the need for industry-wide solidarity to protect individuals now in the work-force as plantations close down, merge or change operations. It created a place on the negotiating subcommittee for a worker from Kahuku Plantation — due to close in 1971 — to ensure proper consideration of this special problem, which workers on other plantations may face in time to come.

DO THE MOST GOOD

The real question before the caucus was what sort of benefits are most important. ILWU Hawaii Regional Director Jack Hall pointed out "although the industry is fat, there is only so much there, and we must make sure we take as much as we can get in the places where it will do the most good."

The caucus agreed, and therefore rejected the idea of demanding a return of employee pension contributions, because it is more important to win higher pension benefits. (Employees had contributed 3 percent of earnings until January 31, this year, when employers assumed the full cost.)

Don't Be a No-Show
On Election Day

Don't be a no-show on November 5!
That's the first Tuesday in November.
All over the United States polls will be open all day long.
If you don't show up to cast your ballot at one of these polls, the one in your precinct, you will have thrown away any say-so you might have—
—On your own and your children's security.
—On what kind and how many schools we should have.
—On racial equality and equal justice.
—On the quality of membership in the Congress and the state legislative bodies.
—On your rights as a worker and on what kind of protection you may expect for your paycheck against inflation or depression.
—On your security in a world of peace.

What you win at the bargaining table or on the picket line can be snatched away by reactionary legislation devised by legislators you failed to vote against.
Equally true, the good legislation you get may be because you did show up to vote for the right guys.
Don't be a no-show!

Caucus Issues
Container Stations, Pensions

SAN FRANCISCO—In one of the largest meetings of its kind, a special Longshore, Clerk and Walking Boss Caucus—called to concentrate on two primary issues: (1) work performed at container freight stations and (2) an increase in certain pensions—convened here October 21, and was still in session, debating the container issue, at the time The Dispatcher went to press.

The 105 delegates from 35 longshore, clerk and walking boss locals, plus fraternal delegates and observers from Warehouse locals 6 and 9, from pensioner groups, visiting delegates from Hawaii, Canada, and San Francisco Watchmen, packed the second floor convention room at International Headquarters here.

In addition, there was a large "gallery" of visiting ILWU members as well as regional directors, staff people, pensioners, auxiliary members, and others.

PARKS IS CHAIRMAN

Elected chairman of the Caucus was G. Johnny Parks, Local 8, Portland, an International Executive Board member; caucus secretary is Ed Anderson, Local 19, Seattle; Larry Boulder, Local 29, San Diego, is sergeant-at-arms; assisted by Clyde Munger, Local 92, Clyde Dorsey, Local 34, and David Tucker, Local 10. Appointed to the position he has held in many previous caucuses and conventions was Bill Lawrence, Local 13, retired, as Honorary Chairman and Parliamentarian.

At Dispatcher press time, the issue
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Lives. As UN Secretary-General U Thant feel they have something worth defending, experts in the ILWU have been saying for years—that in a war against people who military forces cannot produce victory. As John F. Kennedy as a White House aide was the fiercest of hawks and primary architect of Vietnam war policy and of bombing. He called two weeks ago for an unconditional bombing halt and for troop cutbacks, and withdrawals. This indeed seems a rational bombing halt and for troop cutbacks, and withdrawals. This indeed seems a possible solution to the conflict "from the battlefield to the conference table."

The significance of Bundy's sudden dovish stand was highlighted by the recent hints from Paris, as well as from Saigon and Washington, that there was "movement" in peace talks and that the US has proposed a bombing halt. If this meant a halt in the killing, it would be worth our cheers. And if we find once again that it is possible to stop the firing and end the killing even for temporary truces, then doesn't it seem less than humanitarian that killing should ever start again on some man-made scale?

Now, ONE OF the architects of this horror speaks of the $30 billion rate of spending and "an annual rate of sacrifice of more than 10,000 American lives and the increasing bitterness and polarization of our people." Now, he reverses his long-held position.

Then he adds something we military-non-experts in the ILWU have been saying for years—that in a war against people who feel they have something worth defending military forces cannot produce victory. As we have repeatedly stated in conventions and executive boards, Bundy now says stopping bombing is a necessary precondition to meaningful negotiations. At least it could stop the killing, save lives. As UN Secretary-General U Thant has said so often, steps must be taken to move the conflict "from the battlefield to the conference table."

RUMORS IN THE breeze about possible peace in Vietnam. We must transform that into a mighty storm of fact. Rumors there have been before, but the most recently reported movement gives us new hope. Increasingly hard, practical military men agree that from a strict military point of view the war has been a total failure.

The same militaristic mind that apparently can conceive of nuclear suicide as a logical way to run this nation's foreign policy, has apparently come around to the view that continued bombing of North Vietnam is hopeless. That in itself should give us more reason for optimism than we've had before.

McGeorge Bundy, appointed by President John F. Kennedy as a White House aide on national security affairs, was known as the fiercest of hawks and primary architect of Vietnam war policy and of bombing. He called two weeks ago for an unconditional bombing halt and for troop cutbacks, and withdrawals. This indeed seems a miraculous change in military thinking.

Bundy used to call the destruction of North Vietnam—as well as the tragic toll of American dead and wounded—"right and necessary.

THE ILWU has been practically a loner in the American labor movement in calling out for peace in Vietnam. We can point to our considerable record in asking that the bombing be halted unconditionally; leading hopefully to cease-fire and an end to the killing on both sides; leading to negotiations and some reasonable plan to remove our forces, to bring our men home.

We can take special note that at last a considerable majority of the American people agrees with our program—and wants out of Vietnam.

Finally, we must stress that it's the working people who pay for wars, with their bodies and their money, and never get a damn thing from war but more burdens and more pain. We feel the same way we feel about the Vietnam War: seeming to serve our country; it makes possible far larger samplings and quicker decision-making. Poll-taking has become a big business and they've learned a lot in two decades.

With the computer, the polsters can run samples almost to the last minute. We agree from the last election that the winners were being announced on TV even while people were still voting at the last minute. Today, not only have a large number of voters not made up their minds yet but quite a few have been indicating that they may switch for the very last minute, That's why we repeatedly pointed out the undecided vote and pointed the middle on the 50-50 basis, therefore in effect wiping them out as an important force. And yet we were the ones who won the election!

Could this happen again? There are many signs of significant things toward Hubert Humphrey and Muskie, and even more hope with the program that the ILWU is backing—George Wallace, the racist, anti-labor reactionary. Whether these drifts will go primarily to Humphrey or to Nixon is still the mystery. It probably won't be known until the polls are closed.

The polls themselves are a cute device to try to get people to jump on to what they believe is a winner. The kind of people who buy up polling services as a gimmick to push their candidate and manipulate people's thinking are also the same whose thinking is called "law and order" to instigate vicious racism into the election. They're the same who loosely talk about wanting the war to go on because they only believe in "peace with honor" when they're really trying to foment more hawks-like attitudes and step up the killing.

There was never a better time than now to keep analyzing the statements behind some of the glibly-used words of political candidates—and to be skeptical about how the polls are being used as propaganda devices.

Anyway, we'll soon know the outcome, and no matter how it comes out, we can remember an important fact of history as far as the ILWU is concerned. That is, no matter who is in the White House, or anywhere else in political office, we depend primarily on our own economic strength, on our unity, our ability to act as a union and we do not depend on politicians. In any case we'll be able to do a little looking back to see if our International's political policy has supported us, or aided us in voter sentiment. Keeping that in mind, Humphrey supporters are continuing to put on the pressure, pointing to the Truman victory, despite the polls, and saying anything can happen.

This may be whistling in the wind because there are significant differences between methods of poll-taking today and 20 years ago, as well as the use of computers today which makes possible for larger samplings and trying to foment more hawks-like attitudes and step up the killing.

Anyway, we'll soon know the outcome, and no matter how it comes out, we can remember an important fact of history as far as the ILWU is concerned. That is, no matter who is in the White House, or anywhere else in political office, we depend primarily on our own economic strength, on our unity, our ability to act as a union and we do not depend on politicians. In any case we'll be able to do a little looking back to see if our International's political policy has supported us, or aided us in voter sentiment. Keeping that in mind, Humphrey supporters are continuing to put on the pressure, pointing to the Truman victory, despite the polls, and saying anything can happen.
The Union is a Lifesaving Hobby for Pensioners

Isle Sugar Caucus Sets '69 Bargaining Demands

Continued from Page 1—

The local Executive Committee recommended concentrating on six major demands: wages; pensions; industry seniority; a March 31, 1971, expiration date; improved insurance; sick and long-term disability benefits; and safeguards of work jurisdiction. After debate and modification, these were adopted.

The present agreement expires January 31, 1969, and bargaining starts early in December. Demands for new pension and medical agreements must be submitted to the employers by the end of October. Collective bargaining demands on the main agreement may be submitted up to the end of November.

180 DELEGATES

The caucus was attended by 180 delegates representing more than 10,000 workers in sugar and related operations, such as ranching, macadamia nut, and experiment stations. Local 142 president Carl Damaso chaired the caucus, with local secretaries as local coordinators. The board was honored by the caucus by his selection as parliamentarian. He had often presided over coast caucuses.

BIG ISLAND:

John Ah Ho Lee (Hawaiian Ag.), Anthony Veriato (Puna), Alec Belelho (Pahau), Maui: Pepito Ragasa; Oahu: Juanito Lavagna, Louis Goldblatt, ILWU secretary-treasurer, addresses sugar caucus. Behind him on platform are (from left): Fred Taniguchi, Kauai division director; Jose Corpuz, Oahu division director; Betty Watanabe, stenographer; Carl Damaso, Local 142 president.

INDUSTRY SENIORITY

Hottest discussion centered around the demand for industry seniority. All agreed on the demand for credit for all past service in the industry for benefits, but several speakers expressed fear that to give laid-off workers the right to bid for job openings in other plantations would upset job progression expectations.

On the other hand, most delegates agreed that without industry-wide seniority, no worker on any plantation had a secure future in the rapidly changing industry.

The original phrasing of the demand was improved upon to meet the main objections, and it was then adopted without dissent.

GOLDBLATT SPEAKS

International Secretary-Treasurer Louis Goldblatt told delegates that the sugar workers are the foundation-stone of the union movement, because sugar is the heart of the "Big Five" empire.

He recalled how in early battles for a share of the profits that the Big Five companies siphoned out of the industry, there was a great outcry about the "end of the industry" and "irrepressible, left-wing union leadership." Yet today the industry produces 60 percent more tonnage with half the work force.

The union contributed to industry prosperity by cooperating in the unusually smooth transition from a hand to a mechanized operation, and also by throwing its political weight behind legislation which stabilizes sugar prices, Goldblatt said.

While these facts may justify union demands, they don't guarantee that workers will get their share, he observed. Experience shows "there is no substitute in bargaining for the ability to shuts down the operation."

X-RAY TECHNICIANS

Vote Union Shop

OAKLAND—X-ray technicians of ILWU Local 6 voted 52 percent for a union shop in a secret ballot election October 21. Their two-year contract renewal, approved by the members on October 9, included provision for resolving the union shop issue through an election to be conducted by the state conciliation service.

The vote was 45 to 4 for the union shop. X-ray technicians of six East Bay hospitals form a bargaining unit of 60 members. They are employed at Alta Bates, Children's, Herrick, Merritt, Peralta and Providence hospitals.
Endorsements by California Joint Councils

Some Local Endorse:

U.S. Senator — ALAN CRANSTON

San Francisco County
5th Congressional — Phillip Burton
6th Congressional — William Mailliard
9th Congressional — William Proxmire
8th A.D. — Bill Brown
20th A.D. — John Burton
23rd A.D. — John Yorke
SF Propositions: A through J — Yes
SF Proposition K — No

San Mateo County
1st Professional P. N. Pete McCluskey
26th A.D. — Marshall Shaw
27th A.D. — Leo Ryan

Alameda County
21st Congressional — Jeoffrey Cohen
8th Congressional — George Miller
11th Congressional — John Edwards
1st State Senate — Nicholas Petris
13th A.D. — Carlos Bee
15th A.D. — Marceh K. Fong
16th A.D. — Kenneth A. Meade
17th A.D. — John L Miller
Oakland Proposition J — No

Contra Costa County
10th Congressional — Jerome Wadlin
7th State Senate — George Miller, Jr.
10th A.D. — William "Bill" Dickinson
11th A.D. — John T. Knox

Santa Clara County
9th Congressional — Don Edwards
10th Congressional — Grey Davis
13th State Senate — Alfred Alquist
22nd A.D. — No Recommendation
22nd A.D. — John A. Parnell
25th A.D. — Marc Poche
3rd Supervisor — Patrick Hancock

San Joaquin County
15th Congressional — John McFall
12th A.D. — Stephen Pereira

San Diego-Toto Counties
3rd Congressional — John E. Moss
4th Congressional — Robert Leggett
5th State Senate — Albert Ovits
3rd A.D. — Leroy F. Greene
8th A.D. — No Recommendation
9th A.D. — Edwin Z'berg

Napa County
5th A.D. — John F. Dunlap

Monterey County — Salinas Area
1st Congressional — Alan Pattee

Marin County
1st Congressional — Donald Graham
6th Congressional — William Merced
7th A.D. — Robert Merlele

Sonoma-Humboldt Del Norte Counties
1st Congressional — Donald L. Donald
1st State Senate — Randolph Collier
2nd A.D. — Martin Weser

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Congressional Districts

Fresno County
32nd A.D. — George Zenovich
Kern County
28th A.D. — Bakersfield Harlan Hagan

Ontario Reports Proposes Union-Busting Laws

Canadian trade unions in Ontario are up against legislation which would seriously alter the right to strike and picket and compel unions to submit to compulsory arbitration.

The report of the Ontario Royal Commission Inquiry into Labor Disputes, presented by Justice T. E. Carr, 84th former judge of the Supreme Court of Canada, proposes national labor laws which would:

- Establish a comprehensive industrial tribunal with sweeping powers to control labor disputes, including the power to arbitrate all issues of injunctions and the right to end strikes.
- Allow picketing only at the central place of work and not at the place of delivery, loading and unloading. The number of picketers would be limited, mass picketing banned and only strikers allowed to picket. Pickets must elect strike captains whose names must be handed in to the employers.
- Prohibit all boycotts.
- Require unions to employer suits by making them legal entities.
- Ban strikes in any industry, business or service declared "essential" by the provincial government.
- Impose fines of $100 a day against unions and $2,500 a day against labor laws violators for infractions of these laws.

Andy Kotowich, Canadian Area H.W.U. president, warned of the danger of such legislation being extended to the federal field.

"Our union has no record of convention after convention as being unalterably opposed to this type of anti-labor legislation," he declared. "We are well aware that such anti-labor legislation in the provinces opens up the door to similar action by the federal government. We are in full support of our brother unions in Ontario in their battle to maintain collective bargaining and the right to strike and picket. The legislation proposed in Ontario is worse than Bill 23 in British Columbia which limits the rights of labor in this province and subjects it to compulsory arbitration."

The recommendations of the Rand Report have been unanimously approved by Donald MacDonald, president of the Canadian Labor Congress as "a travesty of justice harking back to the days of indentured slavery that "could set back free trade unionism in Canada by at least a century."

The District Council of the United Auto Workers called the report "vicious" and "completely unacceptable."

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
Propositions B & C
Important to SF Port

Waterfront labor is solidly behind Propositions B and C on the November ballot, which will return control of San Francisco Port to the city.

In addition to the ILWU and all of its locals, Propositions B and C have received "yes" endorsements from an impressive list of waterfront-oriented unions and others, as well as the backing of citywide civic, neighborhood and business organizations.

Individual sponsors include ILWU President Harry Bridges and Regional Director William Gaster.

The Port directs approximately 23,000 workers who take home an annual payroll of $155 million. That is about one in seven San Franciscans' job in 20 and 62.5 percent of the entire city payroll.

But the Port's impact on San Francisco goes deeper than that. San Francisco lives by trade, and the Port creates across the city in every type of occupation a total of 67,000 jobs and $1.6 billion in income.

ONE IN SEVEN

One San Franciscans in seven depends on Port jobs.

Organized labor is behind B and C not only to protect these jobs but to help create the new jobs and increased payrolls that home rule of the Port can make possible.

Home rule for the Port will put the Port-based city economy on a sound footing. A Port Committee will let the Port be run by and for the people it most directly affects and the needed modernization and expansion of Port facilities will be easier to accomplish.

Under Port ownership, it will be possible to apply the city's greater flexibility for development and redevelopment to create the modern, competitive shipping facilities needed to help compete for the growing Pacific trade.

Washington
ILWU Fights Initiative 32

SEATTLE — In Washington the greatest labor political energy is concentrating on defeat of Initiative 32. This would restrain the export of state-owned logs. It is a direct bread and butter issue for longshoremen since its passage would immediately displace one of the jobs of 5,000 persons currently employed in log exporting.

If the initiative is pointed out, it would threaten the jobs of many other workers if it should invite retaliation abroad against other Washington products.

The import-export business in Washington last year amounted to $2.7 billion.

The other principal concentration of ILWU political activity in this state is in support of Warren Magnuson for re-election to the US Senate. Leaders of Puget Sound District Council pointed to Magnuson's good record on labor legislation and asked members to help get out the vote for him.

In the campaign against Initiative 32, millions of dollars, radio advertising, bumper stickers and mass-distribution folders are being used.

Organizations in addition to ILWU in The Resource and Trade Committee oppose Initiative 32, a statewide organization that includes the League of Women Voters, Association of State and County Forestry Associations, Council of the Pacific Northwest Log Exporting Industries, Associated Truckers, Port Players, Washington Association of Homeowners, Farmers and Homeowners Association.

C not only to protect these jobs but to help create the new jobs and increased payrolls that home rule of the Port can make possible.

Proposition A speaks out specifically for Home owners who will get tax bills $70 to $100 less than previously. According to the section of the state they live in, (In Alameda and San Francisco counties the relief will be $90 to $100). Persons property taxes will be eliminated and renters will get an additional $1,000 exemption on their income tax.
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Oregon ILWU Gives All-Out Endorsement to Morse

PORTLAND — The re-election of United States Senator Wayne Morse has first priority with Oregon ILWU.

The Columbia River District Council maintained the support of Morse at a meeting in Newport in September where a number of other candidates were endorsed also, including incumbents in three of the state's congressional districts.

In the fourth district support was voted to the Democratic challenger, Edward M. Fadeley.

Emphasis was placed on the candidacy of Dale Paul Parnell, running for State Superintendent of Public Instruction against a Rafter-type schoolman, Dr. Walter Blake. (See the list of SRDC recommendations on candidates and measures below.)

But it is on the Morse campaign that CRDC, union and auxiliary effort is being concentrated.

The CRDC made no recommendation at the presidential level. Instead, they decided to research and send out material on the three top state candidates.

LABOR COMMITTEE

Morse, if re-elected, will be chairman of the Senate committee on Labor and Public Welfare.

This is the committee "where the action is" because the bills important to working people, students and pensioners, Senator Ralph Yarborough of Texas told a Labor Rally for Morse in the Boilermakers' Hall.

In explaining why he was campaign manager for Morse when he himself is in line for the committee chairmanship if Morse is defeated, Yarborough said:

"If we lose one more vote on that committee, we cannot get one bill out."

"Morse, if re-elected, will make three"—Yarborough said on the Morse campaign next year, says Labor.

"Does anyone who knows Wayne Morse think it would be easy for a President Nixon—or a Republican House of Representatives—or a gang of reactionary senators—or the US Chamber of Commerce and National Association of Manufacturers and all their press lords and propaganda barons combined—to blot an anti-labor law through Wayne Morse's committee? Not so, said Morse." Morse didn't want them to,"

The CRDC brochure, entitled "I Wanna Be President," was sent to all affiliates and was included in a mailing of the Clerks' bulletin, 40-Recap.

"An introductory section, "The Candidates—And the Violence at Home and Abroad," noted that "Nixon is offering more of the same old lie and more war. Wallace advocates turning everything over to the POLICE state," while "Hubert follows the Johnson line. If he could break away from the violence in Vietnam he could save the peace issue and win the election."

HIT PROPERTY TAX LIMIT

Of seven ballot measures examined by CRDC, an initiative measure to limit the property tax levy to 1 and one-half percent aroused the delegates' ire. Baker was directed to send out material pointing out that the measure, if passed, would cripple the schools, public health, police and fire protection and pave the way for a sales tax.

A voluntary committee headed by CRDC secretary A. F. Stoneburg is planning to cover the Portland waterfront with a printed brochure on Morse issued by the council and with a three-page document debunking Morse's opponent, management attorney Robert Packwood.

ILWU auxiliary women have put in many hours at Morse campaign offices in several areas, including Multnomah, Coos and Clackamas counties. They have also sponsored coffees for Mrs. Morse and for Judy Morse Eaton who is in Oregon campaigning for her father, and have enlisted in the massive door to door "canvas" for Morse in the tri-county urban area.

---

CRDC Voting Recommendations for Oregon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Senator</th>
<th>WAYNE MORSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st District</td>
<td>Wendall Wyatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd District</td>
<td>Al Ulmen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd District</td>
<td>Edith Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th District</td>
<td>Edward M. Fadeley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Offices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supt. Public Instruction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Senate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multnomah</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multnomah</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>House of Representatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State House of Representatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Douglas County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINCOLN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUNTONOMAH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EAST COUNTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NORTH COUNTRY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOUTH COUNTRY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEST COUNTRY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position No. 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REFERENDUMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Measures Recommended by the Legislature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[The measures below are on the Ballot as the result of petition. Both are of extreme importance, and both have drawn a lot of attention from the people of the state. Passage of either one, especially No. 7, would have far reaching effects.]

| NO | YES |
| No. 6 | The so-called Straub plan to "save the beaches." | YES |
| No. 7 | This is the 1/2 cent property tax limitation. | NO |
Recommendations of Puget Sound Council

National Officers

US President ——-Robert H. Humphrey
US Vice President ——-Edmund Muskie
US Senator ——-Warren Magnuson

State of Washington

Governor ——-John J. O’Connell
Lieutenant Governor ——-John A. Cherberg
Secretary of State ——-Paul Bayle tt
State Treasurer ——-Robert O’Brien
Attorney General ——-John G. McCullough
Commissioner of Public Lands ——-Bart Cole
Insurance Commissioner ——-Karl Herrmann

Constitutional Districts

1—Seattle ——-Don Cole
2—Everett, Snohomish County ——Lloyd Meeds
3—Aberdeen, Grays Harbor ——Julie Butler Hansen
4—Spokane ——-Lee Luckson
5—Tacoma ——-Thomas G. Marshall
6—Yakima ——-Voyd F. Hicks
7—King County ——-Brock Adams

State Districts

(H) indicates member of the House of Representatives; (S), member of the Senate

1—Seattle, part of King County ——-R. Van Hollebeke
2—Everett, Snohomish County ——John W. Lee
3—Aberdeen, Grays Harbor, (S) ——-John D. Smiley
4—Spokane ——-Lee Luckson
5—Tacoma ——-Thomas G. Marshall
6—Yakima ——-Voyd F. Hicks
7—King County ——-Brock Adams

City of Everett

Councilman, Position No. 7 ——-Don Gilchrest

Local 17, Seattle

ILWU Local 17, Seattle, Wash., will hold its primary election December 11, 12 and 13, 1968, and final election on December 19, 20, and 21, 1968, to fill offices of president, vice-president, secretary-treasurer, business agent, dispatchers, standing committees, delegates and members of the executive board. Nominations will be made by filing in the hall on or before December 19, 1968. Polling will be between the hours of 6:30 a.m. and 7 p.m. at 501 Katlian Street, Sitka, Alaska.

Local 25, Anacortes, Wash.

Polling will be between the hours of 6 a.m. and 6 p.m., and on December 17, 1968. Polling will be at 800 Commercial St., Anacortes, Wash.

Local 84, Sitka, Alaska

Local 84, ILWU, Sitka, Alaska, Calif., will hold its primary election November 23, 24, and 25, 1968, and run-off election on December 6 and 7, to fill the offices of president, vice-president, secretary-treasurer, dispatcher, members of the executive board, committees, board of trustees, standing committees and a sergeant-at-arms. Nominations will be at regular stop meeting Wednesday, October 9, and are being sent in by mail to the six outports in the local’s jurisdiction. The ballots will be counted December 14, 1968, at 10 a.m. at 400 North Point Street, San Francisco, Calif.

Local 27, East Bay, Calif.

Local 27, ILWU, 222 East Bay, Calif., will hold its primary election Dec. 11, 12, 13, 1968, and final election Dec. 19, 20, and 21, 1968, to fill offices of president, vice-president, secretary-treasurer, business agent, dispatchers, and members of the executive board. Nominations will be at regular stop meeting Wednesday, October 9, and are being sent in by mail to the six outports in the local’s jurisdiction.

Local 28, Portland, Ore.

Local 28, ILWU, Portland, Ore., will hold its primary election November 25, 1968, and final election November 28, 1968, to fill the offices of president, vice-president, secretary-treasurer, and members of the executive board. Nominations will be at regular stop meeting Thursday, October 10, 1968, and returned on or before November 13, 1968, to ILWU Local 28, 2401 N.W. 23rd St., Portland, Ore.

Local 34, San Francisco

Local 34, ILWU, San Francisco, Calif., will hold its primary election Dec. 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 1968, and final election Dec. 19, 20, and 21, 1968, to fill the offices of president, vice-president, secretary-treasurer, business agent, dispatchers, standing committees, delegates and members of the executive board. Nominations will be at regular stop meeting Thursday, October 10, 1968, and received by the executive director, ILWU Local 34, 549 East 12th St., Oakland, Calif. (In Stockton, polling will be on Friday, December 26, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., in the ILWU Local 34, 549 East 12th St., Stockton, Calif.)

Local 75, San Francisco

Local 75, ILWU, San Francisco, Calif., will hold its primary election November 12, 1968, to fill the offices of president, vice-president, secretary-treasurer, business agent, dispatcher, assistant dispatcher, nine executive board members, three trustees, and auditing committee members. Nominations will be at regular stop meeting Thursday, October 10, 1968, and received by the executive director. The ballots will be counted December 14, 1968, at 10 a.m. at 400 North Point Street, San Francisco, Calif.

Local 92, Portland

Local 92, ILWU, Portland, Ore., will hold its primary election November 25, 1968, and final election December 23, 1968, to fill the offices of president, vice-president, secretary-treasurer, and members of the executive board. Nominations will be at regular stop meeting Wednesday, October 9, and returned on or before November 13, 1968, to ILWU Local 92, 2401 N.W. 23rd St., Portland, Ore.

Local 63, Wilmington, Calif.

Local 63, ILWU, Wilmington, Calif., will hold its primary election December 11, 12, 13, 1968, and final election December 19, 20, and 21, 1968, to fill the offices of president, vice-president, secretary-treasurer, business agent, dispatcher, and members of the executive board. Nominations will be at regular stop meeting Thursday, October 10, 1968, and received by the executive director. The ballots will be counted December 14, 1968, at 10 a.m. at 400 North Point Street, San Francisco, Calif.

Local 11, San Jose

Local 11, ILWU, San Jose, Calif., will hold its primary election November 1, 2 and 3, 1968, to fill the offices of president, secretary-treasurer, sergeant-at-arms, trustees, observance and convention delegates and members of the executive board. Nominations will be at regular stop meeting Thursday, October 10, 1968, and received by the executive director. The ballots will be counted December 14, 1968, at 10 a.m. at 400 North Point Street, San Francisco, Calif.
Caucus Issues

Container Stations, Pensions

Continued from Page 1—

under discussion was the complex one of who will do the work of stuff-
ing and unstuffing containers at container freight stations. All other issues will be debated and resolved after decisions are reached on the container problem.

"SHOWDOWN ISSUE"

First order of business was the reading of the Report of the Coast Committee and the International Officers, dealing with the problems developing out of the container freight stations. The report called this a "showdown issue."

It was pointed out that the major goal is to make sure that ILWU members from the longshore work force stuff and unstuff containers at these freight stations, whether they are directly on or off the waterfront.

In some cases PMA companies have located freight stations some miles away from the waterfront and much of the work of stuffing con-
tainers has been subcontracted or done uptown by PMA companies.

One of the issues brought up was the fact that the present longshore contract with the PMA does not ex-
tend beyond the waterfront.

In developing the Coast Commit-
tee's Report it was pointed out that Matson, which leads in the container field, has suggested negotiating a freight station contract enabling them to establish such stations in dock areas, using longshore work forces, and enabling them to be com-

petitive with contracts covering the same kind of work done elsewhere.

REGIONAL CAUCUSES

The situation is coming to a head in the San Francisco Bay Area be-
cause of the recently developed 7th Street mole in Oakland, which Mat-
son now uses for its containers, and where Japanese steamship com-
panies have leased the area along-
side Matson. They will soon start discharging containers, also contempl-
ing the operation of a dock-side container freight station.

With this as background, the Coast Committee recommended to the Caucus that a negotiating com-
mittee be set up to negotiate a Coastwide agreement for container freight work at terminals and dock areas.

In recommending such an agree-
ment, the Coast Committee and In-
ternational Officers also recommend-
ed the Caucus go on record of "not working the container ships unless and until there is such an agree-
ment."

At Dispatcher press time a number of local, regional or industry-caucus were underway in almost every large room on the second floor of International Headquarters here.

A huge Northwest caucus was tak-
ing place, including longshoremen, clerks and walking bosses; there were Northern and Southern Cal-
ifornia caucuses, clerks' caucuses, and some small port caucuses.

The issue to be decided — and still left very much up in the air — was whether to accept the Coast Com-
mittee recommendations or amend-
ments or whether there would be further amendments and changes.

Next Dispatcher
Deadline November 4

Longshoreman's Son
Named to School Board

SAN FRANCISCO—The youngest man ever appointed to the Board of Education here is the son of a long-
shoreman. He is David J. Sanchez, Jr. His father has been a member of ILWU Local 10 for the past 28 years.

David, Jr., was born here, attend-
ed the University of San Francisco, received his BA and MA degrees at San Jose State College and is a Re-
gents' Fellow at UC Berkeley where he is completing his PhD disserta-
tion. He lectures in sociology at SF.

He is the first Latin-American to be appointed to the Board of Education by a mayor of this city.

He will be on the November 5 bal-
lot for confirmation of his appoint-
ment.

Help Wanted

The East Bay ILWU Joint Legis-
lative Committee appeals to every union member to give an hour or two of time on the Saturday before election day to help get the union's candidate recommendations to the voters.

Warehouse Local 6, Longshore Local 18, Shipwrights Local 34, ILWU Pensioners and Ladies Aux-
iliary and UE Local 1412 comprise the East Bay Joint Legislative Committee.

All members of these organiza-
tions are asked to be at

Local 6 Union Hall 99 Hegenberger Road Oakland

Saturday, Nov. 2
9:30 a.m.

After having coffee and donuts, you will be given a supply of ILWU Legislative Committee slate cards to pass out in a specific neighbor-
hood. It will take only an hour or two of your time. And then you can return to the union hall for a buffet lunch.

Please let your steward on the job know we can count on your help.

On election day, Tuesday, No-

vember 5, we will also need your help to get out the vote for the candidates, beginning at 10 a.m. and until the polls close. Come to the Local 6 Union Hall.

EAST BAY ILWU JOINT LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

---

In union organization in keeping with the many types of new opera-
tions emerging as a result of changed technological and mechanical meth-
ods of loading and unloading cargo."

THE WATERFRONT division cau-
cus got underway just as I re-
turned from my vacation. It's one of the biggest and one of the most exciting caucuses held in many years. The Coast Committee and In-
ternational officers have made joint recommendations concerning the container freight stations and cer-
tain increases for a number of pensioners.

The exact details of the caucus recommendations, the changes and additions made by the caucus and the conclusions of the caucus will be reported as they take place in The Dispatcher.

Above all else I want to say how pleasing it is for me to know that the waterfront division has finally recog-
nized the need to deal with the basic questions concerning jurisdic-
tion on the West Coast waterfront — and who does the work and how it's done.

Local officers of the waterfront division from many areas in recent months have been putting on pres-
sures around this question. They've been calling for new developments in

CAUCUS SCENE. Longshore Caucus Chairman John Parks of Portland Local 8 is shown presiding over the San Fran-
cisco meeting. In the foreground (backs to camera) are members of the Coast Labor Relations Committee, Presi-
dent Harry Bridges, William Forrester and William Ward. —Dispatcher Photo

DISTRIBUTION SCENE. Caucus goers sit around after the con-
cessions of this caucus, and certain it will represent the best interests of the entire rank and file, not only of the waterfront division, but of the entire ILWU.